

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/438,431	09/438,431 11/12/1999		PHILIPPE CHARAS	040010-491	9310
27045	7590	02/09/2005		EXAMINER	
ERICSSON 6300 LEGA		E	SALAD, ABDULLAHI ELMI		
M/S EVR C		Ľ		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PLANO, TX	75024		2157		

DATE MAILED: 02/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	055' - 4 - 4' - 12 0 0 - 12 - 12	09/438,431	CHARAS ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Salad E Abdullahi	2157				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 September 2004</u> .						
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	<ul> <li>Claim(s) 2,3,5,6 and 9-20 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>Claim(s) 2,3,5,6 and 9-20 is/are rejected.</li> </ul>						
•							
	ion Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorical application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage			
Attachmen	t(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
3) Infor	be of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		O-152)			

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/438,431

Art Unit: 2157

### Response Amendment

- 1. The amendment filed on 9/15/2004 has been received and made of record.
- 2. Applicant's arguments filled on 9/15/2004 with respect claims 2-3, 5-6, and 9-20 have been fully considered but they are moot in view of new ground of rejection.
- 3. The office action dated 6/15/2004 examiner has objected dependent claims 5-6 and 11-14 as being dependent to rejected base claim and indicated to be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. In subsequent response dated 9/15/2004 applicant amended claims 5, 11 and 15 and included the indicated allowable subject matter. However, upon further consideration of the claims, a new ground(s) of rejection is made. Examiner apologizes for any burden bears to the applicant.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any

Art Unit: 2157

inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

6. Claims 2-3, 5-6, 9-10 and 11- 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gossett Dalton, Jr. et al., U.S. Paten No 6,426,955 [hereinafter Dalton] in view of Belanger et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,875,186 [hereinafter Belanger].

As per claim 5, Dalton discloses a method of selectively accessing an Internet Protocol (IP) network utilizing an end device that capable of communicating with one or network-terminating device, each said network terminating device being coupled to an associated access network that is further coupled to the IP network, the method comprising the steps of:

- determining whether an end device has access to said IP network (see
   col. 4, lines 43-60, col. 5, lines 3-43 and col. 4, lines 43-60);
- confirming the availability of said one or more access network terminating devices, determining the access capability of each of said one or more access network terminating devices, said access capability comprising one or more predetermined factors (see col. 5, lines 3-43 and col. 12, lines 11-20);

Art Unit: 2157

comparing the determined access capability for each of said one or more access network terminating devices with a preferred access capability being associated with said end device (see col. 18, lines 39 to col. 19, line 10 and 17, line 61 to col. 64); and

selecting at least one of said one or more access network terminating devices to provide an optimum connection to said network, wherein the access capability of said selected network terminating device is ranked highest according to said predetermined factors (see col. 11, lines 39-60 and col. 5, lines 3-43).

### Dalton is silent regarding:

- the end device selecting at least one or more network-terminating device;
   and
- subsequent to connecting to said at least one of said one or more access network-terminating device, polling an indirect interface (i.e., short radio)
   to detect if one or more new access network terminating devices are available to said end device;
- determining an access capability for each of the detected one or more
   new access network terminating devices;
- comparing said access capability for each of the one or more detected new access network terminating devices with said preferred access capability of said end device to determine whether said detected new access network terminating devices can improve the current connection of said end device to said network.

Art Unit: 2157

Belanger in analogous art discloses a communication network, where the end device selects one or more network terminating devices based on the end device preference the end device selecting at least one or more networkterminating device (see the abstract and col. 24, line 43-59); and subsequent to connecting to said at least one of said one or more access network-terminating device, polling (i.e., scanning) said indirect interface to detect if one or more new access network terminating devices are available to said end device (see the abstract and col. 26, lines 3-19); determining an access capability for each of the one or more new access network terminating devices if detected (i.e., determining quality of service or range of service) (see the abstract and col. 26, lines 3-19); comparing said access capability (range of service) for each of the one or more detected new access network terminating devices with said preferred access capability of said end device to determine whether said detected new access network terminating devices can improve the current connection (i.e., can improve quality of service) of said end device to said network(see the abstract and col. 26, lines 3-19). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at time of the invention to incorporate the teaching of Belanger such as enabling the end device to continuously select one or more new network terminating device into the system of Dalton in order to enable end devices to select a network terminating device with the best quality of service.

Art Unit: 2157

In considering claim 2, Dalton discloses the method of claim 5, further comprising the step of configuring said end device according to the access capability of the selected at least one of said one or more access network terminating devices (see col. 11, lines 39-60 and see col. 5, lines 3-43).

In considering claim 3, Dalton disclose the method of claim 5, wherein said predetermined factors of said one or more access network terminating devices comprise cost of access, coverage area, bandwidth delay, priority level and Quality of Service (QoS)(see col. 11, lines 39-60 and see col. 5, lines 3-43).

As per claim 6, Belanger discloses the method of claim 5, further comprising the steps of: selecting one of the one or more new access network terminating device based on the comparison (see col. 26, lines 3-19); and Configuring said end device according to the access capability of the selected one or more new access network terminating device (see col. 26, lines 3-19).

As per claim 11, Dalton disclose a system for providing selective access an Internet Protocol (IP) comprising:

 an interface coupled to an end device for connecting said end device to at least one access network terminating device(see col. 4, lines 43-60, col. 5, lines 3-43 and col. 4, lines 43-60);

Art Unit: 2157

• an access network connected to said at least one access network terminating device each said network terminating device being coupled to an associated access network that is further coupled to the IP network, determining whether an end device has access to said IP network (see col. 4, lines 43-60, col. 5, lines 3-43 and col. 4, lines 43-60);

- detecting said at least one access network terminating device(see col. 4, lines 43-60);
- confirming the availability of said one or more access network terminating devices, determining the access capability of each of said one or more access network terminating devices, said access capability comprising one or more predetermined factors (see col. 5, lines 3-43 and col. 12, lines 11-20);
- comparing the determined access capability for each of said one or more
  access network terminating devices with a preferred access capability
  being associated with said end device (see col. 18, lines 39 to col. 19, line
  10 and 17, line 61 to col. 64); and
- selecting at least one of said one or more access network terminating devices to provide an optimum connection to said network, wherein the access capability of said selected network terminating device is ranked highest according to said predetermined factors (see col. 11, lines 39-60 and col. 5, lines 3-43).

Dalton is silent regarding:

Art Unit: 2157

the end device coupled with an indirect interface for selecting at least one
 or more network-terminating device; and

- subsequent to connecting to said at least one of said one or more access network-terminating device, polling an indirect interface to detect if one or more new access network terminating devices are available to said end device;
- determining an access capability for each of the detected one or more new access network terminating devices;
- comparing said access capability for each of the one or more detected new access network terminating devices with said preferred access capability of said end device to determine whether said detected new access network terminating devices can improve the current connection of said end device to said network.

Belanger in analogous art discloses a communication network, where the end device coupled with an indirect interface (i.e., short radio)selects one or more network terminating devices based on the end device preference the end device selecting at least one or more network-terminating device (see the abstract and col. 24, line 43-59); and subsequent to connecting to said at least one of said one or more access network-terminating device, polling (i.e., scanning) said indirect interface to detect if one or more new access network terminating devices are available to

said end device (see the abstract and col. 26, lines 3-19);

Art Unit: 2157

determining an access capability for each of the one or more new access network terminating devices if detected (i.e., determining quality of service or range of service) (see the abstract and col. 26, lines 3-19); comparing said access capability (range of service) for each of the one or more detected new access network terminating devices with said preferred access capability of said end device to determine whether said detected new access network terminating devices can improve the current connection (i.e., can improve quality of service) of said end device to said network(see the abstract and col. 26, lines 3-19). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at time of the invention to incorporate the teaching of Belanger such as enabling the end device to continuously select one or more new network terminating device into the system of Dalton in order to enable end devices to select a network terminating device with the best quality of service.

In considering claim 9, Dalton discloses the system of claim 11, wherein said predetermined factors of said one or more access network terminating devices comprise cost of access, coverage area, bandwidth delay, priority level and Quality of Service (QoS)(see col. 11, lines 39-60 and see col. 5, lines 3-43).

In considering claim 10, Dalton disclose the system of claim 11, wherein said preferred predetermined factors of said one or more access network terminating devices comprise cost of access, coverage area, bandwidth delay, priority level and Quality of Service (QoS)(see col. 11, lines 39-60 and see col. 5, lines 3-43).

Art Unit: 2157

As per claim 12, Belanger discloses the method of claim 11, further comprising the steps of: configuring said end device according to the access capability of the selected one or more new access network terminating device (see col. 26, lines 3-19).

In considering claim 13, Dalton disclose the end device of claim 12, wherein said end device can communicate simultaneously over variety of interfaces that obviously may include a cellular interface (see fig. 1).

7. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable Dalton and Belanger as applied to claim 13.

As per claim 114, although Dalton discloses substantial features of the claimed invention as discussed above with respect to claim 12.

Dalton is silent regarding:

utilizing an indirect interface such as Bluetooth interface and is associated with said access network terminating device.

Nonetheless, the utilization Blue tooth protocols would have been an obvious modification to Gossett Dalton, Jr. et al., system. Furthermore, Gossett Dalton, Jr. et al., discloses the end user system may be coupled to the terminating device utilizing variety of protocols obviously including Blue tooth. In addition, a variety of conventional radio links may be utilized the link between the end user and the terminating device. One particularly advantageous radio link is the Blue tooth radio link. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to utilize Bluetooth interface, because

Art Unit: 2157

one of the advantages of using Bluetooth wireless communication is that it possesses a built-in security which is advantageous in voice applications as may used utilized the end user systems.

As per claim 15, Dalton discloses an device for connecting to an Internet Protocol (IP) network, comprising:

- means for storing access capability for said end device(see col. 4, lines 43-60, col. 5, lines 3-43;
- means for communicating with one or more access network terminating devices each said access network-terminating device being coupled to an associated access network device and each said access network being communicably coupled with said IP network (see col. 4, lines 43-60, col. 5, lines 3-43 and col. 4, lines 43-60);

  means for comparing said stored access capability of each of said one or more access network terminating devices, said access capability comprising one or more predetermined factors (see col. 5, lines 3-43 and col. 12, lines 11-20);
- means for selecting at least one of said one or more access network terminating devices to provide an optimum connection to said network, wherein the access capability of said selected network terminating device is ranked highest according to said predetermined factors (see col. 11, lines 39-60 and col. 5, lines 3-43).

Dalton is silent regarding:

Art Unit: 2157

the end device coupled with an indirect interface for selecting at least one
 or more network-terminating device; and

- subsequent to connecting to said at least one of said one or more access network-terminating device, polling an indirect interface to detect if one or more new access network terminating devices are available to said end device;
- determining an access capability for each of the detected one or more
   new access network terminating devices;
- comparing said access capability for each of the one or more detected new access network terminating devices with said preferred access capability of said end device to determine whether said detected new access network terminating devices can improve the current connection of said end device to said network.

Belanger in analogous art discloses a communication network, where the end device coupled with an indirect interface (i.e., short radio)selects one or more network terminating devices based on the end device preference the end device selecting at least one or more network-terminating device (see the abstract and col. 24, line 43-59); and subsequent to connecting to said at least one of said one or more access network-terminating device, polling (i.e., scanning) said indirect interface to detect if one or more new access network terminating devices are available to

said end device (see the abstract and col. 26, lines 3-19);

Art Unit: 2157

determining an access capability for each of the one or more new access network terminating devices if detected (i.e., determining quality of service or range of service) (see the abstract and col. 26, lines 3-19); comparing said access capability (range of service) for each of the one or more detected new access network terminating devices with said preferred access capability of said end device to determine whether said detected new access network terminating devices can improve the current connection (i.e., can improve quality of service) of said end device to said network(see the abstract and col. 26, lines 3-19). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at time of the invention to incorporate the teaching of Belanger such as enabling the end device to continuously select one or more new network terminating device into the system of Dalton in order to enable end devices to select a network terminating device with the best quality of service.

8. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable Dalton as applied to claim 15.

As per claim 16, although Dalton discloses substantial features of the claimed invention as discussed above with respect to claim 15.

Dalton is silent regarding:

utilizing an indirect interface such as Bluetooth interface and is associated with said access network terminating device..

Nonetheless, the utilization Blue tooth protocols would have been an obvious modification to Gossett Dalton, Jr. et al., system. Furthermore, Gossett Dalton,

Art Unit: 2157

Jr. et al., discloses the end user system may be coupled to the terminating device utilizing variety of protocols obviously including Blue tooth. In addition, a variety of conventional radio links may be utilized the link between the end user and the terminating device. One particularly advantageous radio link is the Blue tooth radio link. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to utilize Bluetooth interface, because one of the advantages of using Bluetooth wireless communication is that it possesses a built-in security which is advantageous in voice applications as may used utilized the end user systems.

In considering claim 17, Dalton discloses the end device of claim 15, wherein said access network terminating devices provide a communication link with the Internet (see fig. 1, element 102).

In considering claim 18, Dalton discloses the end device of claim 15, further comprising means for communicating over a direct interface (see fig. 1, element 105).

In considering claim 19, Dalton disclose the end device of claim 18, wherein said end device can communicate simultaneously over variety of interfaces that obviously may include a cellular interface (see fig. 1).

Page 15

Application/Control Number: 09/438,431

Art Unit: 2157

In considering claim 20, Dalton disclose the end device of claim 18, wherein said end device can communicate simultaneously over variety of interfaces that obviously may include a cellular interface (see fig. 1).

#### CONCLUSION

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to the applicant's disclosure.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Salad E Abdullahi whose telephone number is 703-308-8441. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 5:00. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Etienne can be reached on 703-305-4792. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.
- 11. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-

free).

Examiner Au 2157

2/5/2005